

# FAR 23 Amendment 64 An Applicants' Perspective

"double the safety at half the cost"



MERLIN AVI ATI ON | NOVEMBER 4<sup>th</sup>, 2022

## Contents of this deck

- Merlin Introduction & Context
- FAR 23 Amdt. 64 Recap
- FAA Recommendations vs. Implementation
- Applicant Challenges
- Applicant Opportunities
- Bonus Slides

# Merlin is building the world's **most experienced Pilot**, enabling existing and future aircraft to fly with **reduced crew**, and eventually autonomously.









Dave Weston Paul Wright Matt Pollock Cert Lead Design Cert Lead & Software Cert Specialist Senior Avionics Engineer

Caravan

Autonomous certification program (STC) program underway with CAANZ and the FAA for Cessna 208B Grand Caravan FAR 23 Amdt. 64 Recap

### Prescriptive vs. Performance.

- **Reduce cost & complexity** : Remove barriers for introduction of new safety enhancing technologies & keep pace with emerging technology.
- **Performance based requirements** : *establish outcomes* that must be achieved rather than *prescriptive technical requirements*.
- **Risk based requirements :** Levels 1-4 based on max passengers, Catastrophic <10<sup>-6</sup>, 10<sup>-7</sup>, 10<sup>-8</sup> or 10<sup>-9</sup> respectively.
- Means of Compliance : Industry Standards (ASTM), FAR 23 Amdt. 63 or *Applicant Proposed MOC*.
- What about Autonomoy? Safety enhancing technologies that introduce *new features*, *functions* and *operational concepts* to the aircraft?

 $\underline{https://www.aviation.govt.nz/assets/aircraft/2017-design-delegation-seminar/far23-rewrite.pdf}$ 

 $www.faa.gov/about/office_org/headquarters\_offices/avs/offices/air/directorates\_field/small\_airplanes/media/p23_reorg\_arcfinal.pdf$ 

#### Textron C208B Grand Caravan



RECOMMENDATION	REALITY
Regulator Test Article Conformity:	Merlin NZ STC Implementation:
Labour intensive & logistically challenging.	• Test Article production under part 145/148.
<ul> <li>Test article(s) located oversees.</li> <li>Recommend <i>Configuration Management</i> as alternative.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Aircraft/part configuration managed as per standard 148/145 processes.</li> <li>Normal practice for CAANZ STCs.</li> </ul>
Showing Compliance / Finding Compliance:	Merlin NZ STC Implementation:
<ul> <li>FAA Level of Involvement minimised based on level of risk.</li> <li>Consider risk of improper analysis or test compromising certification data.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>STC Application made through Part 146 ADO.</li> <li>FOC assigned to CAA or 146 DDH per risk.</li> <li>Normal practice for CAANZ STCs.</li> </ul>
Regulator reviewing fewer compliance reports.	

RECOMMENDATION	REALITY
Use of Video Recordings in Testing:	Merlin NZ STC Implementation:
Labour intensive & logistically challenging.	Certification Testing not yet commenced.
<ul> <li>Use Video Recordings to witness testing unless a specific reason for not allowing for specific test.</li> </ul>	• Test articles in Kerikeri, Mojave, Boston & Others.
	• <i>Significant scope</i> : System bench testing, software testing, simulator HF testing and A/C ground & flight testing.
Production Manual:	Merlin NZ STC Implementation:
Creation of a <i>Production Manual</i> to help new startup aircraft companies gain necessary procedures for <i>Production Certificate</i> .	Existing Type Certified Aircraft.
	Certification via STC.
	Part production using Part 148 MO.
	Aircraft modification using Part 145 MRO.

#### RECOMMENDATION

#### Design Organisation Handbook (*Exposition*):

- Organizations with clear and well organised handbooks can perform the same certification activities faster and more efficiently.
- FAA provides guidance on what should be in an ODA manual yet there is still a significant amount of local interpretation.

### REALITY

#### Merlin NZ STC Implementation:

- Flight Structures Part 146 ADO engaged as Lead Certification Organisation (SOC & FOC).
- Beca Part 146 ADO engaged as Software Certification Organisation (SOC & DO-178).
- Merlin internal design control procedures receive oversight from Part 146 ADO's and Merlin Certification Lead (current DDH).
- Merlin Strategy to utilise Part 146 ADO capabilities to certify autonomy in New Zealand, supported by Part 100 SMS and Part 135 Operations.

RECOMMENDATION	REALITY
<ul> <li>Certification Plan Acceptance:</li> <li>Cert plan frequently held up awaiting special conditions, exemptions, ELOS etc.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Merlin NZ STC Implementation:</li> <li>Establish baseline cert basis and MOC in PSCP.</li> <li>Identify features functions &amp; rules requiring</li> </ul>
Held up because regulator asks for more detailed compliance information on individual sections.	special attention and concurrently develop <i>Issue</i> <i>Papers</i> as <i>Design Concept</i> matures.
<ul> <li>Foreign Validation Costs:</li> <li>Harmonization of the part 23 regulations across international CAAs, along with the ASTM standards has the potential to have a significant positive impact on the time and cost involved with foreign validation.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Merlin NZ STC Implementation:</li> <li>FAR 23 is acceptable cert basis.</li> <li>FAA Validation concurrent with CAANZ STC.</li> <li>Key aspects/challenges: <ul> <li>Harmonised Cert Basis &amp; Issue Papers.</li> <li>Resolve differences in STC process (FAA/CAA</li> <li>Integrate 'Tech Assist' alongside Validation</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

## Prescriptive vs. Performance.

- What does compliance look like : Performance based requirements can be challenging;
  - > Time/cost to develop requirements.
  - > More time to negociate with regulator(s).
  - > New dynamic between Applicant & Regulator.
- **Concurrency Risk**: Cert requirements developed concurrently with Design.
- **146/DDH/SOC Familiarity**: New understanding required for SOC and FOC signatories (training?).
- **Regulatory Defaults :** Default to known FAR 23/25 requirements e.g. *Human Factors, System Safety, Software Certification, Development Assurance.*
- Validation Impact: Challenging to harmonise CAANZ and FAA across STC Process, Cert Basis and Issue Papers.

#### Canards



Hundreds of autonom ous missions Merlin test facility in Mojave

## Prescriptive vs. Performance.

- **Regulator Collaboration** : Development of Performance Requirements concurrent with Design neccesitates close regulator collaboration.
- **Bispoke Performance Requirements** : Performance Requirements can leverage specific configurations and operational limitations. *Proprietary Cert Basis*!
- **History is Important:** Existing knowledge base is huge Rules, ACs, Policy, NPRM etc.

Don't need to re-invent the wheel, just need to adapt it so that its fit for purpose.

- Bringing Engineering & Certification Closer: Performance requirements can directly reflect the Intended Purpose/Function of the Design.
- New & Novel Features and Functions



# Vision: The world's most experienced Pilot

Currently integrated on several platforms, the Merlin Pilot can scale to the most complex aircraft, even next generation aircraft not yet in operation.





# Let's ascend to new heights.

david. west on @merlinlabs.com

