

# **NZDF Military Type Certification**

P-8A Poseidon

**WGCDR Andy Gallagher** 



## Scope

 NZDF Military Type Certification Overview.

> P-8A Poseidon

➤ A little bit about the changes to the NZDF Airworthiness system



- Implicit under ICAO regulations, and hence the Civil Aviation Act 1990, that the NZDF airworthiness regulatory system should be no less effective than the civil system
- ➤ To achieve that the NZDF has recently adopted an EASA style regulation set and created a NZ Defence Aviation Authority.
  - We have adopted EMARs in the form of Defence Aviation Rules (DARs)
  - Our DARs match the ADF Rule set (DASRs) one rule set for Australasia.

- For Type Certification, the military process is distinct from the equivalent civil process.
- Civil certification starts with the Category of the aircraft, which in turn defines the Type Certification Basis (TCB) within a certification specification, e.g. FAR 25.
- The military certification process is defined by the military airworthiness requirements which
  are determined by specific air system design, roles and missions.
- Thus the TCB is bespoke for each military type introduced to Service for a specific role.
- Often the TCB is set by another nation when we buy Military Off The Shelf (MOTS).

#### "Certification"

 The process of recognition that a product, part or appliance, organisation or person complies with the applicable airworthiness requirements followed by the declaration of compliance.

- Military Type Certification processes tend to be complicated by the following considerations:
  - Configuration, Role and Environment (CRE)
    - Unlike civil aircraft, military aircraft tend to be employed in a wide and diverse range of roles and environments.
  - Informed Recognition of Prior Acceptance (IRPA)
    - The civil structures administered by ICAO ensure that contracting States are in a position to strike Bi-Lateral agreements with other States to cover the sharing of the outcomes of a type certification process.
    - This is <u>now</u> also the case for specific Military Airworthiness Authorities
      - Formalised Recognition process in place AFIC Air Standard AW 2003 & European Defence Agency's EMAD R Recognition Process



- US International Traffic of Arms Regulations (ITAR) and IP considerations:
  - The ability to pass technical data is closely controlled
  - Makes certification review very difficult for front like equipment like the P-8A

IRPA Continuation:

IRPA in the military sphere is not so straightforward because:

- Not all military operators use a well-defined structured Airworthiness system;
- Many military organisations align along service lines not State lines;
- Many military aircraft are certified on a project by project basis; and
- Many military aircraft have specific aircraft design standards.
- As a consequence the Military Type Certification process tends to place a significant emphasis on confirming on a case-by-case basis that the type certification process used the first time that the aircraft was certified was appropriate.

#### NZDF Type Certification Process

All military type certification (initial service entry and modification) follows the same basic format:

- The NZDF establishes and maintains a Statement of Operating Intent and Usage (SOIU) for the aircraft/modification;
- Part of the SOIU requires a CRE analysis to be developed;
- The SOIU determines what design can be accepted based on previous certification (IRPA) and what design compliance finding is unique to the NZDF;
- The NZDF then determines if the TCB from the original certification can be adopted;
- Then either detailed review of compliance demonstration against the TCB or recognition approach



#### **NZDF P-8A Poseidon**

- The first NZDF P-8A Aircraft (NZ4801) arrives 13 Dec 2022.
- All four will be here by May 2023.
- Aircrew are returning from training with the USN – fully qualified
- Maintenance are returning from training with the RAAF – fully qualified
- Certification has leveraged off prior certification by USN, RAF, RAAF enableb by recognition of MAA's



#### Conclusion

- We are getting far closer to the civil system but not the CAA rules
- The RNZAF and RAAF approach is the <u>same</u> now
- We have used Baines Simmons (out of the UK) to transition
- We have approved DAR 21 design organisation which has requirements similar to yours
- Our cert process has some differences, but not that many

#### **Future of the RNZAF Engineers**

#### **New Fleets**

- P-8A (now)
- C-130J-30 (next year)
- Maritime Helicopter Replacement (sometime soon?)
- 757 replacement (in the near future)
  - less repairs & less modifications = less design development
  - More reviews of external approved data
  - More certification reviews of aircraft coming

= fewer options for growing design engineer experience, but greater need for that experience. Do you have this issues?



# Questions

